

2. MALMEISTER A.K., TAMUZH V.P. and TETERS G.A., Strength of Polymer and Composite Materials. Zinatne, Riga, 1980.
3. KACHANOV L.M., Principles of Fracture Mechanics. Nauka, Moscow, 1974.
4. MOSKVITIN V.V., Strength of Viscoelastic Materials. Nauka, Moscow, 1972.
5. POBEDRYA B.E., Lectures on Tensor Analysis. Izd. Mosk. Gos. Univ., 1986.
6. SENDECKYI G.M., ed., Mechanics of Composites /Russian translation/, Mir, Moscow, 1978.
7. ASHKENAZI E.K. and GANOV E.V., Anisotropy of Structural Materials. Handbook., Mashinostroyeniye, Moscow, 1972.
8. GOL'DENBLAT I.I., BAZHANOV V.L. and KOPNOV V.A., Creep Strength in Machine Construction. Mashinostroyeniye, Moscow, 1977.
9. POBEDRYA B.E., Mechanics of Composite Materials. Izd. Mosk. Gos. Univ., 1984.
10. LOKHIN V.V. and SEDOV L.I., Non-linear tensor functions of several tensor arguments. PMM, 27, 3, 1963.
11. POBEDRYA B.E., Deformation theory of plasticity of anisotropic media, PMM, 48, 1, 1984.

Translated by M.D.F.

PMM U.S.S.R., Vol. 52, No. 1, pp. 113-120, 1988  
 Printed in Great Britain

0021-8928/88 \$10.00+0.00  
 ©1989 Pergamon Press plc

## THE SPATIAL PROBLEM OF THE COMPRESSION OF A MATERIAL ALONG A PERIODIC SYSTEM OF PARALLEL CIRCULAR CRACKS\*

V.M. NAZARENKO

The non-axisymmetric problem of the biaxial uniform compression of a material along a periodic system of parallel circular cracks is considered. A fracture criterion is used /1, 2/ within the framework of linearized stability theory according to which the beginning of fracture of the material under compression along the cracks is characterized by local buckling near the cracks. Within the framework of this approach, axisymmetric and plane problems were considered earlier for different material models (highly-elastic, composite and plastic) for one or two internal cracks, near-surface cracks and a periodic system of cracks /1-13/\*\*. (\*\*See also: Nazarenko, V.M., The axisymmetric problem of the fracture mechanics of materials under compression along a periodic system of parallel cracks (unequal roots).

Proceeding of the Eleventh Scientific Conf. of Young Scientists. Inst. Mechanics, Ukraine Academy of Sciences, Kiev, 1986. 154-161, Dep. VINITI 5507-86, July 28, 1986 Nazarenko, V.M. and Starodubtsev, I.P., On material fracture under compression along two parallel cracks in the case of plane strain. Non-classical and Mixed Problems of the Mechanics of a Deformable Body: Materials of a Seminar of Young Scientists, Kiev, 1985, 142-145, Dep. 5531-85 in VINITI, July 29, 1985.) The investigation is performed in general form for an arbitrary kind of **elastic potential for compressible and incompressible materials, the theory of large and modifications of small sub-critical strains**, and can be extended to other models of a deformable body (composites, plastic bodies, etc.).

1. **Formulation of the problem.** Fracture of a material weakened by a periodic system of parallel disc-shaped coaxial cracks  $\{r < a, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi, x_3 = 2hn, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  under biaxial compression in planes parallel to the cracks is considered. Lagrange coordinates  $x_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ) are utilized that are identical with the Cartesian coordinates in the undeformed state, as are the symmetric stress tensor  $S^0$  referred to unit area of the body in the undeformed state,  $u_i$ ,  $\dagger$  is the perturbation of the displacement vector and the non-symmetric Kirchhoff stress tensor, respectively, and  $r, \theta, x_3$  are the cylindrical coordinates obtainable from the Cartesian coordinates  $x_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ).

---

\*Prikl. Matem. Mekhan., 52, 1, 145-152, 1988

The realizable homogeneous subcritical state is given by the relationships /1/

$$\begin{aligned} S_{33}^{\circ} = 0, S_{11}^{\circ} = S_{22}^{\circ} \neq 0, S_{11}^{\circ} = \text{const} \\ u_j^{\circ} = \delta_{jm} (\lambda_j - 1) x_m; \lambda_j = \text{const}; \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \neq \lambda_3 \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

( $\lambda_j$  are the elongations along the axes  $\lambda_1 < 1$ ). The crack edges are stress-free. The boundary conditions of the linearized problem have the form

$$\begin{aligned} t_{33} = t_{3r} = t_{3\theta} = 0 (x_3 = (2hn)_{\pm}, r < a, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi) \\ n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where the plus and minus subscripts denote the appropriate crack edges.

In view of the periodicity of the geometric and force schemes of the problem, also considering the symmetric and antisymmetric stress and displacement fields relative to this plane separately (because of the linearity of the problem and its symmetry relative to the plane

$x_3 = 0$ ), we can reduce the initial problem to a problem for the layer  $0 \leq x_3 \leq h$  separately for the symmetric and bending buckling modes with the following boundary conditions  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$  everywhere):

The symmetric mode

$$\begin{aligned} u_3 = 0 (x_3 = 0, r > a), t_{33} = 0 (x_3 = 0, r < a) \\ t_{3r} = t_{3\theta} = 0 (x_3 = 0, 0 \leq r < \infty) \\ u_3 = 0, t_{3r} = t_{3\theta} = 0 (x_3 = h, 0 \leq r < \infty) \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

The bending mode

$$\begin{aligned} u_r = u_{\theta} = 0 (x_3 = 0, r > a) \\ t_{3r} = t_{3\theta} = 0 (x_3 = 0, r < a) \\ t_{33} = 0 (x_3 = 0, 0 \leq r < \infty) \\ u_r = u_{\theta} = 0, t_{33} = 0 (x_3 = h, 0 \leq r < \infty) \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Investigation of problems (1.3) and (1.4) relies upon the apparatus of the theory of cracks for bodies with initial stresses /1/.

Representations of the general solutions of the linearized problem for the initial states (1.1) in terms of potential functions in a circular cylindrical coordinate system are given by the relationships /1, 6/ (limited to the case of equal roots  $n_1^{\circ} = n_2^{\circ}$  of the characteristic equation, the terminology and notation in /1, 6/)

$$\begin{aligned} u_r = -\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} - z_1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \Phi_3}{\partial \theta}, \quad u_{\theta} = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} - \\ z_1 \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \Phi_3}{\partial r} \\ u_3 = (n_1^{\circ})^{-1/2} (m_1^{\circ} - m_2^{\circ} + 1) F - m_1^{\circ} (n_1^{\circ})^{-1/2} \Phi - m_1^{\circ} (n_1^{\circ})^{-1/2} z_1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_1} \\ t_{33} = c_{44}^{\circ} \left[ (d_1 l_1^{\circ} - d_2 l_2^{\circ}) \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_1} - d_1 l_1^{\circ} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z_1} - d_1 l_1^{\circ} z_1 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial z_1^2} \right] \\ t_{3\theta} = c_{44}^{\circ} \left\{ (n_1^{\circ})^{-1/2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [(d_1 - d_2) F - d_1 \Phi] - \right. \\ \left. (n_1^{\circ})^{-1/2} d_1 z_1 \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta \partial z_1} + (n_3^{\circ})^{-1/2} d_3 \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_3}{\partial r \partial z_3} \right\}, \\ t_{3r} = c_{44}^{\circ} \left\{ (n_1^{\circ})^{-1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [(d_1 - d_2) F - d_1 \Phi] - \right. \\ \left. (n_1^{\circ})^{-1/2} d_1 z_1 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial r \partial z_1} - (n_3^{\circ})^{-1/2} d_3 \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_3}{\partial \theta \partial z_3} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

The potential functions  $\varphi(r, \theta, z_1)$ ,  $\Phi(r, \theta, z_1)$ ,  $F(r, \theta, z_1)$ ,  $\varphi_3(r, \theta, z_3)$  are harmonic functions of their arguments and

$$\Phi \equiv \partial \varphi / \partial z_1; z_i = (n_i^{\circ})^{-1/2} x_i, \quad i = 1, 3 \quad (1.6)$$

The quantities  $n_1^{\circ}$ ,  $n_3^{\circ}$ ,  $m_j^{\circ}$ ,  $l_j^{\circ}$ ,  $d_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) in the representations (1.5) are determined by selecting the elastic potential (with appropriate simplifications for modifications of the theory of small subcritical strains) or in the general case by the selection of the deformable body model /1/. We proceed as is customary in classical elasticity theory when investigating non-axisymmetric problems /14-16/; we represent the harmonic potential functions in the form of series in the harmonics of argument  $\theta$  with coefficients in the form of Hankel integral expansions in the coordinate  $r$  of order corresponding to the number of the harmonic (here and

henceforth summation is over  $n$  from 0 to  $\infty$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi(r, \theta, z_1) &= - \sum \cos n\theta \int_0^\infty [B_n^{(1)}(\lambda) \operatorname{sh} \lambda (h_1 - z_1) + \\
 &\quad B_n^{(2)}(\lambda) \operatorname{ch} \lambda (h_1 - z_1)] J_n(\lambda r) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda \operatorname{sh} \lambda h_1} \\
 F(r, \theta, z_1) &= \sum \cos n\theta \int_0^\infty [A_n^{(1)}(\lambda) \operatorname{ch} \lambda (h_1 - z_1) + \\
 &\quad A_n^{(2)}(\lambda) \operatorname{sh} \lambda (h_1 - z_1)] J_n(\lambda r) \frac{d\lambda}{\operatorname{sh} \lambda h_1} \\
 \varphi_3(r, \theta, z_3) &= \sum \sin n\theta \int_0^\infty [C_n^{(1)}(\lambda) \operatorname{ch} \lambda (h_3 - z_3) + \\
 &\quad C_n^{(2)}(\lambda) \operatorname{sh} \lambda (h_3 - z_3)] J_n(\lambda r) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda \operatorname{sh} \lambda h_3} \\
 (h_j &= (n_j^\circ)^{-1/2} h, j = 1, 3)
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

where  $A_n^{(i)}, B_n^{(i)}, C_n^{(i)}$  are unknown functions. The representation for the function  $\Phi(r, \theta, z_1)$  follows from (1.6)

**2. System of dual integral equations.** We will investigate problems (1.3) and (1.4) for the symmetric and bending buckling modes by first reducing them to dual integral equations for each harmonic, and then to Fredholm integral equations of the second kind. In view of the awkwardness of the calculations, we will represent the investigation procedure by the example of problem (1.4) for the bending mode.

Satisfying the boundary conditions given in the whole plane  $x_3 = \text{const}$  (or  $z_1 = \text{const}$ ,  $i = 1, 3$ ) - the last two rows in (1.4), we obtain four equations connecting the functions  $A_n^{(j)}, B_n^{(j)}, C_n^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Utilizing the relationship

$$J_\nu'(z) = -J_{\nu+1}(z) + \nu z^{-1} J_\nu(z) \tag{2.1}$$

and equating the expressions for  $\sin n\theta$  and  $\cos n\theta$  to zero, we obtain that the equations mentioned are satisfied identically if

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_n^{(1)} &= 0, \quad B_n^{(2)} = \lambda h_1 A_n^{(1)}, \quad A_n^{(2)} = 0, \\
 B_n^{(1)} &= \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{d_2 d_3^\circ}{d_1 d_1^\circ} \right) - \lambda h_1 \operatorname{cth} \lambda h_1 \right] A_n^{(1)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

The remaining boundary conditions in (1.4) (the first two rows) result in a system of dual equations (taking into account (1.5), (1.7) and (2.2))

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma \cos n\theta \int_0^\infty \left[ (B_n^{(1)} + B_n^{(2)} \operatorname{cth} \lambda h_1) \frac{\partial J_n(\lambda r)}{\partial(\lambda r)} - \right. \\
 \left. \frac{n}{\lambda r} C_n^{(2)} J_n(\lambda r) \right] d\lambda = 0, \quad r > a \\
 \Sigma \sin n\theta \int_0^\infty \left[ -\frac{n}{\lambda r} (B_n^{(1)} + B_n^{(2)} \operatorname{cth} \lambda h_1) J_n(\lambda r) + \right. \\
 \left. C_n^{(2)} \frac{\partial J_n(\lambda r)}{\partial(\lambda r)} \right] d\lambda = 0, \quad r > a \\
 \Sigma \cos n\theta \int_0^\infty \left\{ (n_1^\circ)^{-1/2} [(d_1 - d_2) A_n^{(1)} \operatorname{cth} \lambda h_1 - \right. \\
 \left. d_1 (B_n^{(1)} \operatorname{cth} \lambda h_1 + B_n^{(2)})] \frac{\partial J_n(\lambda r)}{\partial(\lambda r)} + \right. \\
 \left. (n_3^\circ)^{-1/2} d_3 \frac{n}{\lambda r} C_n^{(2)} \operatorname{cth} \lambda h_3 J_n(\lambda r) \right\} \lambda d\lambda = 0, \quad r < a \\
 \Sigma \sin n\theta \int_0^\infty \left\{ - (n_1^\circ)^{-1/2} \frac{n}{\lambda r} [(d_1 - d_2) A_n^{(1)} \operatorname{cth} \lambda h_1 - \right. \\
 \left. d_1 (B_n^{(1)} \operatorname{cth} \lambda h_1 + B_n^{(2)})] J_n(\lambda r) - \right. \\
 \left. (n_3^\circ)^{-1/2} d_3 C_n^{(2)} \operatorname{cth} \lambda h_3 \frac{\partial J_n(\lambda r)}{\partial(\lambda r)} \right\} \lambda d\lambda = 0, \quad r < a
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

We note that on selecting the general solutions in the form of (1.5) when the potential functions are chosen in the form (1.7) of a sum of trigonometric functions multiplied by the Hankel integral expansions, the components  $u_r, u_\theta, t_{3r}, t_{3\theta}$  taking the relationships (2.1) and

$$2vz^{-1}J_v(z) = J_{v-1}(z) + J_{v+1}(z)$$

into account can be represented in general form (analogous to the representation /14/ in the classical case) as

$$u_r = \Sigma \cos n\theta (K_{n+1} - L_{n-1}), \quad u_\theta = \Sigma \sin n\theta (K_{n+1} + L_{n-1})$$

$$t_{3r} = c_{44}^\circ \Sigma \cos n\theta (U_{n+1} - V_{n-1}), \quad t_{3\theta} = c_{44}^\circ \Sigma \sin n\theta (U_{n+1} + V_{n-1})$$

Here  $K_{n+1}, U_{n+1}$  are Hankel integral transforms of order  $(n+1)$ , and  $L_{n-1}, V_{n-1}$  are of order  $(n-1)$ . Consequently, two conditions of the type  $u_r = 0, u_\theta = 0$  (or  $t_{3r} = 0, t_{3\theta} = 0$ ) for  $z_i = \text{const}$  can be reformulated to the form  $K_{n+1} = 0, L_{n-1} = 0$  (or  $U_{n+1} = 0, V_{n-1} = 0$ ) for  $z_i = \text{const}$  by equating the coefficients of  $\sin n\theta$  and  $\cos n\theta$  to zero.

Taking account of the above, we conclude that the dual Eqs. (2.3) decompose into separate equations corresponding to each  $n$ -th harmonic in the variable  $\theta$

$$\int_0^\infty X_\pm J_{n\pm 1}(\lambda r) d\lambda = 0, \quad r > a; \quad X_\pm = \left(1 - \frac{d_2 l_2^\circ}{d_1 l_1^\circ}\right) A_n^{(1)} \pm C_n^{(2)} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\int_0^\infty \{ (n_1^\circ)^{-1/2} d_1 A_n^{(1)} [-k \text{cth} \mu_1 + \mu_1 (\text{cth}^2 \mu_1 - 1)] \mp$$

$$(n_3^\circ)^{-1/2} d_3 C_n^{(2)} \text{cth} \mu_3 \} J_{n\pm 1}(\lambda r) \lambda d\lambda = 0, \quad r < a$$

$$n = 1, 2, \dots; \quad \mu_j = \lambda h_j, \quad j = 1, 3; \quad k = (l_1^\circ - l_2^\circ) d_2 (d_1 l_1^\circ)^{-1}$$

The case of the axisymmetric problem ( $n = 0$ ) for the system of dual equations (2.4) and (2.5) is singular since in this case only equations with the upper signs should be retained in the system by setting  $C_0^{(2)} = 0$  therein, since  $\sin n\theta \equiv 0$  for  $n = 0$ , see (2.3). The result also follows directly from system (2.4) and (2.5) for  $n = 0$  if it is taken into account that  $J_{-1}(z) = -J_1(z)$ . The axisymmetric case is discussed in detail earlier /13/ and, consequently, we will not discuss it further.

**3. Solution of the system of dual equations. Obtaining Fredholm integral equations.** One of the methods of solving dual integral equations is the method of substitution which in this case consists of the fact that  $X_\pm$  are selected in a form such that relationships (2.4) are satisfied identically. The two remaining relationships (2.5) are usually converted to Abel integral equations by special methods (the method is demonstrated in /15/ for non-axisymmetric problems) or into Schloemilch integral equations (see /17/, where dual equations are considered for Bessel functions of identical order), whose solutions also yield the desired Fredholm equations of the second kind.

The method described in /17/, based on obtaining the Schloemilch equation modernized to the case of the dual equations (2.4) and (2.5) in which Bessel functions of the different orders  $((n+1)$  and  $(n-1))$  occur, will be used below.

We will represent the solution of the system of dual integral equations under consideration in the form  $(\varphi_\pm(t))$  are unknown functions)

$$X_\pm = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/2} \lambda^{\pm 1/2} \int_0^a t^{1/2} \varphi_\pm(t) J_{n\pm 1/2}(\lambda t) dt \tag{3.1}$$

We later need the relationship /18/

$$t^{\mp v} \frac{d}{dt} [t^{\pm v} J_v(\xi t)] = \pm \xi J_{v\mp 1}(\xi t) \tag{3.2}$$

which is a special case of the discontinuous Webber-Shafheitlin integral and the Sonine integral

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^{1/2} J_\nu(\lambda x) J_{\nu-1/2}(\lambda y) d\lambda = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < x < y \\ \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \frac{y^{\nu-1/2}}{x^\nu (x^2 - y^2)^{1/2}}, & 0 < y < x \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^n \theta J_{n-1}(\lambda x \sin \theta) d\theta = \left(\frac{\pi}{2\lambda x}\right)^{1/2} J_{n-1/2}(\lambda x) \tag{3.4}$$

Selection of the solution in the form (3.1) enables relationships (2.4) to be satisfied by taking account of (3.2) and (3.3) and integrating by parts. We express  $A_n^{(1)}$  and  $C_n^{(2)}$  in terms of  $X_\pm$ . Substitution into (2.5) yields the equation

$$\int_0^{\infty} (P_{\pm} X_{\pm} + P_{\mp} X_{\mp}) J_{n\pm 1}(\lambda r) \lambda d\lambda = 0, \quad r < a \quad (3.5)$$

$$P_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} [-ks \mp q + s(-kI(\mu_1) + J(\mu_1)) \mp qI(\mu_2)]$$

$$s = (n_1^{\circ})^{-1/2} d_1 \left(1 - \frac{d_2 d_3^{\circ}}{d_1 d_1^{\circ}}\right)^{-1}, \quad q = (n_3^{\circ})^{-1/2} d_3$$

$$I(\mu) = \frac{e^{-\mu}}{\text{sh } \mu}, \quad J(\mu) = \frac{\mu}{\text{sh}^2 \mu}$$

We convert (3.5) first to Schloemilch integral equations and then to Fredholm integral equations of the second kind. We will demonstrate the procedure mentioned in an example of (3.5) with the upper signs.

Using (3.2) and integration by parts, we represent

$$X_{+} = -\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/2} \lambda^{1/2} \left\{ a^{1/2} \varphi_{+}(a) J_{n-1/2}(\lambda a) - \int_0^a t^{-n+1/2} \psi_{+}(t) J_{n-1/2}(\lambda t) dt \right\} \quad (3.6)$$

$$\lambda J_{n+1}(\lambda r) = -r^n \frac{d}{dr} [r^{-n} J_n(\lambda r)]$$

and using (3.3) and (3.6) we obtain

$$I_{\pm} \equiv \int_0^{\infty} X_{\pm} \lambda J_{n+1}(\lambda r) d\lambda = -r^n \frac{d}{dr} r^{-2n} \int_0^r \psi_{\pm}(t) \frac{dt}{(r^2 - t^2)^{1/2}} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\psi_{+}(t) = -\frac{d}{dt} [t^n \varphi_{+}(t)], \quad \psi_{-}(t) = t^n \varphi_{-}(t)$$

$$J_{\pm} \equiv \int_0^{\infty} L_{\pm}(\lambda) X_{\pm} \lambda J_{n+1}(\lambda r) d\lambda, \quad L_{\pm}(\lambda) =$$

$$s [-kI(\mu_1) + J(\mu_1)] \mp qI(\mu_2)$$

Eq.(3.5) with the upper signs which has the form in the notation (3.7)

$$(-ks - q) I_{+} + (-ks + q) I_{-} + J_{+} + J_{-} = 0$$

will take the following form after multiplication by  $r^{-n}$ , integration with respect to  $r$  between 0 and  $r$  and multiplication by  $r^n$ :

$$(ks + q) r^{-n} \int_0^r \psi_{+}(t) \frac{dt}{(r^2 - t^2)^{1/2}} + (ks - q) r^{-n} \int_0^r \psi_{-}(t) \frac{dt}{(r^2 - t^2)^{1/2}} + \quad (3.8)$$

$$r^n \int_0^r \rho^{-n} \int_0^{\infty} L_{+}(\lambda) X_{+} \lambda J_{n+1}(\lambda \rho) d\lambda d\rho +$$

$$r^n \int_0^r \rho^{-n} \int_0^{\infty} L_{-}(\lambda) X_{-} \lambda J_{n+1}(\lambda \rho) d\lambda d\rho = 0$$

Using the relationship

$$\int_0^r \frac{d}{dr} [r^{-n} J_n(\lambda r)] dr = r^{-n} J_n(\lambda r) - \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-n} J_n(\lambda \rho) =$$

$$r^{-n} J_n(\lambda r) - \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^n$$

and substituting  $t = r \sin \theta$  we obtain from (3.8)

$$(ks + q) \int_0^{\pi/2} \psi_{+}(r \sin \theta) d\theta + (ks - q) \int_0^{\pi/2} \psi_{-}(r \sin \theta) d\theta = N(r) \quad (3.9)$$

$$N(r) = r^n \int_0^{\infty} (L_{+}(\lambda) X_{+} + L_{-}(\lambda) X_{-}) \left[ J_n(\lambda r) - \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{\lambda r}{2}\right)^n \right] d\lambda$$

The Schloemilch equation

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} f(r \sin \theta) d\theta = N(r) \quad (0 \leq r \leq a)$$

has the solution

$$f(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[ N(0) + x \int_0^{\pi/2} N'(x \sin \theta) d\theta \right]$$

In the case under consideration

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (ks + q)\psi_+(x) + (ks - q)\psi_-(x), \quad N(0) = 0 \\ \int_0^{\pi/2} N'(x \sin \theta) d\theta &= \int_0^{\infty} (L_+(\lambda) X_+ + L_-(\lambda) X_-) \times \\ &\quad \left[ x^{n-1/2} \lambda^{1/2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/2} J_{n-1/2}(\lambda x) - \frac{2n}{n!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^n x^{2n-1} \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2n-1} \theta d\theta \right] d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

(using the Sonine integral (3.4)). Taking account of the relationship /19/

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2\nu-1} \theta d\theta = \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{2} \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(\nu+1/2)}$$

and using the representation for  $X_+$  in the form (3.6) and  $X_-$  in the form (3.1), we obtain the desired Fredholm equation of the second kind

$$\begin{aligned} (ks + q)\psi_+(x) + (ks - q)\psi_-(x) + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^a \psi_+(t) M_{11}(x, t) dt + \\ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^a \psi_-(t) M_{12}(x, t) dt = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq a, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

with the kernels

$$\begin{aligned} M_{11}(x, t) &= \pi x \int_0^{\infty} L_+(\lambda) \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{1/2} [t^{-n+1/2} J_{n-1/2}(\lambda t) - a^{-n+1/2} J_{n-1/2}(\lambda a)] \times \\ &\quad \left[ \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^n \frac{x^{2n-1}}{\Gamma(n+1/2)} - x^{n-1/2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{1/2} J_{n-1/2}(\lambda x) \right] d\lambda \\ M_{12}(x, t) &= \pi x t^{-n+1/2} \int_0^{\infty} L_-(\lambda) \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{1/2} J_{n-1/2}(\lambda t) \left[ \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^n \frac{x^{2n-1}}{\Gamma(n+1/2)} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. x^{n-1/2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{1/2} J_{n-1/2}(\lambda x) \right] d\lambda \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

In exactly the same way, we obtain the second Fredholm equation

$$\begin{aligned} (ks - q)\psi_+(x) + (ks + q)\psi_-(x) + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^a \psi_+(t) M_{21}(x, t) dt + \\ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^a \psi_-(t) M_{22}(x, t) dt = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq a, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

with the kernels

$$\begin{aligned} M_{21}(x, t) &= \frac{\pi}{2} x^{n+1/2} \int_0^{\infty} L_-(\lambda) \lambda [a^{-n+1/2} J_{n-1/2}(\lambda a) - \\ &\quad t^{-n+1/2} J_{n-1/2}(\lambda t)] J_{n-1/2}(\lambda x) d\lambda \\ M_{22}(x, t) &= -\frac{\pi}{2} x^{n+1/2} t^{-n+1/2} \int_0^{\infty} L_+(\lambda) \lambda J_{n-1/2}(\lambda t) J_{n-1/2}(\lambda x) d\lambda \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

from (3.5) with the lower signs.

Writing the half-sum and the half-difference of (3.10) and (3.12), we obtain the resolving system of integral equations in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_+(x) + \psi_-(x) + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^a \psi_+(t) K_{11}(x, t) dt + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^a \psi_-(t) K_{12}(x, t) dt = 0 \\ \psi_+(x) - \psi_-(x) + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^a \psi_+(t) K_{21}(x, t) dt + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^a \psi_-(t) K_{22}(x, t) dt = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 < x \leq a, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
K_{11}(x, t) &= [M_{11}(x, t) + M_{21}(x, t)]/(2ks), \quad K_{12}(x, t) = \\
& [M_{12}(x, t) + M_{22}(x, t)]/(2ks) \\
K_{21}(x, t) &= [M_{11}(x, t) - M_{21}(x, t)]/(2q), \quad K_{22}(x, t) = \\
& [M_{12}(x, t) - M_{22}(x, t)]/(2q)
\end{aligned}$$

Investigation of problem (1.3) for the symmetric mode results /17/ in the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x) - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^a \varphi(t) K(x, t) dt = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq a, \quad n = 1, 2, 3 \dots \quad (3.15) \\
K(x, t) = \frac{\pi}{2} x' t' / x \int_0^\infty \lambda g(\lambda) J_{n+1/2}(\lambda t) J_{n+1/2}(\lambda x) d\lambda \\
g(\lambda) = -I(\mu_1) - k^{-1} J(\mu_1)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the initial problems (1.3 and (1.4) are reduced, respectively, to the set of eigenvalue problems (3.15) and (3.14) for the shortening parameter  $\lambda_1 < 1$  for each  $n$ -th harmonic ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). The kernels  $K_{ij}(x, t)$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ) and  $K(x, t)$  of the integral equations obtained are continuous everywhere except at the points satisfying the conditions  $k(\lambda_1)q(\lambda_1) = 0$  and  $k(\lambda_1) = 0$ . The first determines the value  $\lambda_1^* < 1$ , corresponding to a surface instability of the half-space /20/, and the second to the value  $\lambda_1^{*ax} \leq \lambda_1^*$ , corresponding to the surface instability of the half-space on the basis of a consideration of just the axisymmetric linearized problem.

The critical values  $\lambda_1$  obtained when investigating the eigenvalue problems according to the fracture criterion used correspond to the beginning of the fracture of a material weakened by a periodic system of parallel cracks under compression along the latter.

#### REFERENCES

1. GUZ A.N., Mechanics of Brittle Fracture of Materials with Initial Stresses. Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1983.
2. GUZ A.N., On a fracture criterion for solids under compression along cracks. The plane problem, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 259, 6, 1981.
3. GUZ A.N. and NAZARENKO V.M., The axisymmetric problem of the fracture of a half-space with a surface disc-shaped crack, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 274, 1, 1984.
4. GUZ A.N., KNYUKH V.I. and NAZARENKO V.M., The spatial axisymmetric problem of the fracture of a material with two disc-shaped cracks under compression along the cracks, Prikl. Mekhan., 20, 11, 1984.
5. GUZ A.N. and NAZARENKO V.M., On the theory of near-surface delamination of composites under compression along microcracks, Mekhan. Kompos. Materialov, 5, 1985.
6. GUZ A.N. and NAZARENKO V.M., Symmetric failure of the half-space with penny-shaped cracks in compression, Theor. Appl. Fract. Mech., 3, 3, 1985.
7. GUZ A.N. and NAZARENKO V.M., The spatial problem of the plastic near-surface fracture of materials under compression along microcracks, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 284, 4, 1985.
8. GUZ A.N. and NAZARENKO V.M., Fracture of materials under compression along a periodic system of cracks under plane strain conditions, PMM, 51, 2, 1987.
9. NAZARENKO V.M., Mutual influence of a near-surface circular crack and the free boundary in the axisymmetric fracture problem of an incompressible half-space under compression along the plane of a crack, Prikl. Mekhan., 21, 2, 1985.
10. NAZARENKO V.M., On the fracture of composite materials in near-surface cracks under compression along the plane of the cracks. Non-classical Problems of the Mechanics of Composite Materials and their Structures. Proceedings of the Second All-Union Seminar, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1984.
11. NAZARENKO V.M., Plastic fracture of materials under compression along near-surface macrocracks. Prikl. Mekhan., 22, 3, 1986.
12. KNYUKH V.I. and NAZARENKO V.M., On the question of plastic material fracture under compression along two parallel cracks, Proceedings of the All-Union School-Seminar "Mathematical Modelling in Science and Engineering", Ural Science Centre, USSR Acad. Sci., Perm, 1986.
13. GUZ A.N. and NAZARENKO V.M., On material fracture under compression along a periodic system of parallel circular cracks, Prikl. Mekhan., 23, 4, 1987.
14. MUKI R., Asymmetric problems of the theory of elasticity for a semi-infinite solid and a thick plate, Progress in Solid Mechanics, 1, North-Holland Publ. Comp., Amsterdam, 1960.
15. SRIVASTAVA K.N. and PALAIYA R.M., Asymmetric distribution of thermal stress in a semi-infinite elastic solid containing a penny-shaped crack, Z. Angew. Math. und Mech., 50, 12, 1970.

16. KASSIR M.K. and SIH G.C., Mechanics of Fracture, 2, Three-dimensional Crack Problems. Noordhoff Intern. Publ., Leyden, 1975.
17. UFLYAND YA.S., The Method of Dual Equations in Problems of Mathematical Physics, Nauka, Leningrad, 1977.
18. BATEMAN H. and ERDELYI A., Higher Transcendental Functions, 2, /Russian translation/, Nauka, Moscow, 1974.
19. PRUDNIKOV A.P., BRYCHKOV YU.A. and MARICHEV O.I., Integrals and Series. Elementary Functions. Nauka, Moscow, 1981.
20. GUZ A.N., Stability of Elastic Bodies under Finite Strains. Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1973.

Translated by M.D.F.

PMM U.S.S.R., Vol.52, No.1, pp.120-125, 1988  
 Printed in Great Britain

0021-8928/88 \$10.00+0.00  
 ©1989 Pergamon Press plc

## ASYMPTOTIC SOLUTIONS OF INTEGRAL EQUATIONS OF CRACK THEORY PROBLEMS FOR THIN PLATES\*

V.B. ZELENTSOV

Integral equations to which problems of the bending of thin plates with slits can be reduced are considered. On the basis of the properties of the integral equation kernels, conclusions are drawn concerning the classes of existence and uniqueness of their solutions. Asymptotic methods based on extraction of their principal part with subsequent exact inversion are proposed for the solution of the integral equations. On the basis of the solutions obtained, formulas are presented for the stress intensity factors in the slit angles, and their dependence on the geometrical parameters of the problem is shown. Other problems are indicated that result in the solution of the integral equations under consideration.

Asymptotic methods of solving integral problems of elasticity theory problems on cracks /1-3/ were considered earlier, as were also integral equations /4/ analogous to those considered below.

1. The integral equation. Two kinds of problems (A and B) of crack theory for Kirchhoff-Love plates are studied.

*Problem A.* A Kirchhoff-Love plate in the form of a strip of width  $2h$  ( $0 \leq y \leq 2h$ ) is considered which is stiffly clamped along the edges. There is a rectilinear slit (crack) of length  $2a$  on the plate axis of symmetry ( $y = h$ ). The slit (crack) edges are subjected to the action of a bending moment  $M_y = \varphi_1(x)$ . It is required to determine the angle of rotation of the slit edge  $g_1^0(x)$  (Fig.1a).

*Problem B.* As in problem A, a plate in the form of a strip with a slit (crack) is considered. The slit (crack) is opened under the action of an antisymmetric transverse force  $V_y = \varphi_2(x)$  distributed along the slit edges. Determine the vertical displacement of the slit (crack) edges  $g_2^0(x)$  (Fig.1b).

The mathematical formulation of the problems under consideration is as follows: find the solution of the boundary value problem for the biharmonic equation

$$D\Delta^2\omega = q(x, y) \quad (1.1)$$

( $\omega(x, y)$  is the plate deflection,  $q(x, y)$  is the distributed load, and  $D$  is the cylindrical stiffness) with mixed boundary conditions.

*Problem A.*

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, 0) = w_y'(x, 0) = V_y(x, h) = 0, \quad |x| < \infty \\ M_y(x, h) = \varphi_1(x), \quad |x| < a; \quad w_y'(x, h) = 0, \quad a < |x| < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$